UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Aiexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,442	12/29/2003	Bennett Cookson JR.	019404-001400US	2385	
20350 7590 12/27/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER		
			CABUCOS, MARIE G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2163		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,442	COOKSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Marie Antoinette Cabucos	2163	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		>	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/29	0/2007 & amendment filed on 10/	19/07.	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

10/748,442 Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tebbs et al (US Publication no. 2005/0114364).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Tebbs discloses a system for creating a family tree, comprising the method of receiving a request from a user to return a file comprising the family tree (paragraph 0066-0067); use a plurality of primary source records to construct the family tree based on the request, wherein the records indicate multiple alternatives for at least one person of the family tree, and wherein the records comprise correlated records having been subjected to one of an individual correlation process and a relationship correlation process to thereby determine a likelihood that two or more of the records represent the at least one person (paragraphs 0025-0031, 0039-0042, 0056); send a file comprising the family tree to the user, wherein the file comprises the alternatives (figure 4; paragraph 0054).

3. Regarding claims 2 and 12, Tebbs discloses a system for creating a family tree, wherein an alternative results from a difference relating to a selection from the group

Application/Control Number:

10/748,442 Art Unit: 2163

consisting of spelling, place, date, event, relationship, ancestor, spouse, and children (paragraph 0030 and 0070, claim 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-10 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Tebbs in view of Alan Eaton (US Publication no. 2004/0083226).

Tebbs discloses all the claimed elements as explained above except for the user being able to select from among the alternatives. However, Eaton discloses such a user selecting option for easy transmission of genealogical data (abstract). It would have been obvious by one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to combine the systems and methods of Eaton with the linking and matching method of Tebbs to improve the quality of the genealogical data (paragraph 0006-0007).

Regarding claims 3-6 and 13-16, Eaton discloses a system for creating a family tree, wherein the processor is further programmed to provide an opportunity for the user to select among the alternatives (paragraphs 0036-0038, 0049-0050); wherein the processor is further programmed to receive a selection from among the alternatives from the user, store the selection, use the selection to revise the family tree; and send a file comprising the revised family tree to the user; wherein the processor is further

Art Unit: 2163

programmed to use the selection to provide an alternative to another user; and wherein the processor is further programmed to thereafter receive a non-contemporaneous request from the user to view the family tree; use the stored selection to construct the family tree; and send a file comprising the family tree to the user, wherein the family tree comprises the revised family tree (paragraphs 0041-0043, 0049-0051).

Regarding claims 7-9 and 17-19, Eaton discloses a system for creating a family tree, wherein the processor is further programmed to receive additional genealogy data that creates new alternatives in the family tree; and notify the user of the new alternatives; wherein in being programmed to notify the user of the new alternatives, the processor is further programmed to send the user an email; and wherein in being programmed to notify the user of the new alternatives the processor is further programmed to send the user a file comprising the family tree, wherein the file includes a new alternatives symbol (paragraphs 0036, 0041, 0053-0054).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Tebbs does not teach "using a plurality of primary source records to construct the family tree based on the request, wherein the records indicate multiple alternatives for at least one person of the family tree, and wherein the records comprise correlated records having been subjected to one of an individual correlation process and a relationship correlation process to thereby determine a likelihood that two or more of the records represent the at least one person." Examiner

respectfully disagrees for such a limitation is disclosed in paragraphs 0025-0031, 0039-0042, 0054 and 0056 and figure 4.

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art of record to Jenkins et al (US Patent no. 6,658,412) discloses a compute-based method and system for linking records in data files.

Prior art of record to Kent W. Huff (US Patent no. 6,760,731) discloses a genealogy registry system.

Prior art of record to Notargiacomo et al (US Publication no. 2003/0014422) discloses a method and system for building a family tree.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/748,442

Art Unit: 2163

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie Antoinette Cabucos whose telephone number is 571-272-8582. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marie Antoinette Cabucos Examiner Art Unit 2163

> WILSON LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER